



Government of India Ministry of Human Resource Development Department of School Education & Literacy Mid Day Meal Scheme

AGENDA NOTE

Conference of State Education Secretaries / State Nodal Officers of all the States / UTs implementing Mid Day Meal Scheme

(28th January, 2014) India International Centre, New Delhi

1. Introduction

The efforts of the State Governments and UT Administrations has resulted in providing hot cooked meals to more than 10.35 crore children, which is 75% of the enrolled children in 11.55 lakh schools in the country. Many independent evaluations have reported that MDMS has helped in preventing classroom hunger; promoting school participation and fostering social equality and enhancing gender equity.

The Government of India is also monitoring Mid Day Meal Scheme through 38 independent Monitoring Institutes, Joint Review Mission besides monitoring by Office of Supreme Court Commissioner. The Mission has visited 18 States and 2 more missions have been scheduled in January and Feb in 2013-14.

The web enabled MIS for Mid Day Meal Scheme is a milestone in the monitoring of the Scheme and now data for the year 2012-13 and 2013-14 is available on the portal. The funds and food grains management modules with auto generation of Annual Work Plan has been launched and training to the users at various levels has been convened. It is also relevant to mention that the States/UTs have been requested to submit their AWP & B for 2014-15 through MDM MIS as well.

An analysis of the implementation of the Mid Day Meal Scheme for the year 2013-14 reveals the following areas of concern:

- i) Timely release of funds from the State level,
- ii) Delay in payment to cook-cum-helpers,
- iii) Delays in making payment to FCI,
- iv) Strengthening of management structure for MDM at various levels in the State,
- v) Regular SSMC meetings in every quarter,
- vi) Data entry in MDM-MIS portal in time bound manner,
- vii) Conducting Research Studies,
- viii) Preparation of Action Plan for poor performing districts,
- ix) Introduction of Social Audit,
- x) Better convergence with NRHM for full coverage of children under the School Health Programme,
- xi) Timely submission of QPRs and MPRs.

2. Findings of the Fifth Joint Review Missions on Nutritional Status of the Children:

The Fifth Joint Review Mission under MDMS, as you are aware, included the Deans/HODs of eminent Home Science Institutes /Scientists of NIN Hyderabad as the leader/members of the Review Mission, to effectively assess the Nutritional Status of the children taking Mid-Day Meal. The Fifth Joint Review Mission has visited 18 States and UTs so far; Gujarat, Delhi, Himachal Pradesh, Tripura, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Manipur, Jharkhand, Bihar, Odisha, Tamil Nadu Madhya Pradesh, Meghalaya, West Bengal, Arunachal Pradesh Assam & Puducherry. Currently JRMs are visiting Punjab and Rajasthan also. The Report of the Joint Review Mission has brought out the level of Malnutrition among the group of children selected for the study. The details is given below:

State wise Findings:

1. Gujarat:

The Nutritional Status of 263 Boys, and 295 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 26.62% boys and 31.53% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 17.87% Boys and 9.83% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

2. Tamil Nadu

The Nutritional Status of 436 Boys, and 366 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 31.65% boys and 29.18% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 24.30% Boys and 14.00% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

3. Assam

The Nutritional Status of 430 Boys, and 445 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 21.16% boys and 15.06% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 8.84% Boys and 10.34% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

4. Uttar Pradesh

The Nutritional Status of 384 Boys, and 452 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 26.04% boys and 21.90% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 30.73% Boys and 21.02% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

5. Manipur

The Nutritional Status of 361 Boys, and 414 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 6.93% boys and 4.11% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 1.94% Boys and 0.72% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

6. Madhya Pradesh

The Nutritional Status of 414 Boys, and 442 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 25.12% boys and 6.33% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 2.17% Boys and 7.01% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

7. West Bengal

The Nutritional Status of 242 Boys, and 262 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 15.70% boys and 11.45% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 9.50% Boys and 5.73% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

8. Puducherry

The Nutritional Status of 330 Boys, and 441 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 23.94% boys and 17.69% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 16.67% Boys and 6.35% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

9. Tripura

The Nutritional Status of 297 Boys, and 382 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 23.91% boys and 21.20% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 6.40% Boys and 5.24% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

10. Delhi

The Nutritional Status of 104 Boys, and 134 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 20.19% boys and 21.64% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 13.46% Boys and 4.48% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

11. Jharkhand

The Nutritional Status of 528 Boys, and 552 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 24.81% boys and 18.48% girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 6.25% Boys and 2.72% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

12. Meghalaya

The Nutritional Status of 376 Boys, and 361 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 10.63% boys and 6.93 % girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 3.19% Boys and 2.22% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

13. Arunachal Pradesh

The Nutritional Status of 246 Boys, and 259 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 6.08% boys and 4.63 % girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 2.03% Boys and 1.54% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

14. Himachal Pradesh

The Nutritional Status of 263 Boys, and 314 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 21.29% boys and 22.61 % girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 6.08% Boys and 1.54% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

15. Maharashtra

The Nutritional Status of 267 Boys, and 265 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 25.84% boys and 22.64 % girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 0.0% Boys and 0.0% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

16. Odisha

The Nutritional Status of 425 Boys, and 450 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 16.24% boys and 12.67 % girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 10.4% Boys and 7.60% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

17. Bihar

The Nutritional Status of 282 Boys, and 279 Girls where assessed. The results indicated that 29.79% boys and 23.66 % girls are in the category of -2SD (Moderately malnourished) and 0.0% Boys and 0.0% girls are in the category of -3 SD (Severely malnourished).

18. Andhra Pradesh

The Joint Review Mission reported that as many as 56% children were malnourished, while 35% are in mild under nourished condition. Only 7% of children are having normal BMI. The Mission has used Indian Academy of Paediatrics methodology for evaluation of the children instead of WHO method.

Micronutrient Deficiency:

The Fifth Joint Review Mission Reports have shown that risk of non-communicable disease in early adult life is higher in children who were stunted and underweight in early childhood. Wider use of BMI for age can enable early detection and correction of current energy deficit or excess in children and bring about reduction in risk of morbidity due to infection in childhood and non-communicable disease risk in early adult life. The deficiency symptoms of protein, vitamin A, B complex and iron deficiency anaemia were observed in the surveyed children.

3. Coverage of Children

10.35 crore children in 11.55 lakh eligible institutions benefited in the 2nd quarter of the year 2013-14 under Mid Day Meal Scheme. The classification of coverage of States is as under:

| >= 90% | between 75% to 90% | below 75% | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Kerala (99%), Arunachal | Goa (88%), Punjab (87%), | Tripura (64%), Madhya | |
| Pradesh (99%), | Tamil Nadu (86%) Jammu | Pradesh (72%), Gujarat | |
| Lakshadweep (98%), West | & Kashmir (84%), Manipur | (70%), Rajasthan (61%), | |
| Bengal (97%), Haryana | (83%), Maharashtra (82%), | Bihar (67%), Delhi (61%), | |
| (97%), Mizoram (96%, | Orissa (82%), Chhattisgarh | Jharkhand (56%), Uttar | |
| Puducherry (94%), | (81%), A&N Islands (79%), | Pradesh (52%) & Chandigarh | |
| Meghalaya (94%) Himachal | D & N Haveli (78%), Daman | (48%) | |
| Pradesh (93%), Karnataka | & Diu (78%), Andhra | | |
| (92%), Sikkim (92%), | Pradesh (77%), | | |
| Assam (90%), | Uttarakhand (77%) | | |
| (12 States/UTs) | (13 States/UTs) | (09 States/UTs) | |
| Govt. of Nagaland has not submitted the QPR II Data | | | |

The Mid Day Meal Scheme extended benefits to 75% enrolled children in the 2nd Quarter of the year 2013-14 across the country. 12 States have covered more than 90% and there are 13 States/UTs who have covered between 75% and 90% of the enrolled children. 09 States/UTs covered children under MDMS below 75% till tend of the quarter II in 2013-14. The Govt. of Uttar Pradesh and Chandigarh has covered 52% and 48% of the enrolled children respectively. The details are given at <u>Annexure-I.</u>

3.1 Coverage of working days

| >=100% working | >=100% working days covered | | between 95% to 99% | | 95% |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Primary | U. Primary | Primary | U. Primary | Primary | U. Primary |
| Sikkim (119%) | Sikkim (117%) | Manipur | Assam | Himachal | Himachal |
| Jammu & | Jammu & | (99%),Laksh | (96%), | Pradesh | Pradesh(91 |
| Kashmir | Kashmir | adweep(99% | Arunachal | (91%), | %),Daman |
| (117%), | (117%), |), | Pradesh | Daman & Diu | & |
| Chandigarh | Chandigarh | MP(99%),Pu | (98%), | (90%), | Diu(90%), |
| (107%), | (107%), | ducherry(98 | Manipur | Bihar (86%) | Bihar(86%), |
| Jharkhand | Jharkhand | %),Kerala(97 | (99%) | | Kerala(85% |
| (103%), Punjab | (103%), | %),Uttarakha | ,Lakshadw | |) |
| (103%), | Punjab | nd(97%),Gujr | eep(99%), | | |
| Assam(102%),H | (103%), | ath(97%), | MP(98%),P | | |
| aryana(102%, | Haryana | Karnataka | uducherry(| | |
| Maharashtra | (102%) | (97%), | 96%),Uttar | | |
| (101%), Andhra | Maharashtra | Goa (97%), | akhand(97 | | |
| Pradesh(100%), | (103%), | Tamil Nadu | %),Gujrath(| | |
| Arunachal | Andhra | (96%), | 97%),Karn | | |
| Pradesh(100%), | Pradesh | Meghalaya | ataka(97%) | | |
| Mizoram(100%), | (100%), | (96%), | ,Goa | | |
| Odisha (100%), | Mizoram | Chhattisgarh | (97%),Tam | | |
| Rajasthan | (100%)Odisha | (96%), | il | | |
| (100%), | (100%),Rajast | Tripura(95%) | Nadu(95%) | | |
| West Bengal | han(100%), | , Uttar | ,Chhattisga | | |
| (100%),A&N | West Bengal | Pradesh(95 | rh(96%),Tri | | |
| Islands(100%),D | (102%),A&N | %) | pura(95%), | | |
| & N Havel(| Islands(100%) | | UP(95%) | | |
| 100%), Delhi (| ,D & N Haveli | | | | |
| 100%) | (100%),Delhi | | | | |
| | (100%), | | | | |
| | Meghalaya | | | | |
| | (106%) | | | | |

Programme Approval Board had approved the working days as per the States/UTs education board calendar. It is appreciated that the 15 States/UTs had covered 100% or more than 100 % of working days both in Primary and Upper Primary School upto 2nd quarter for the year 2013-14. There are 3 States/UTs covering less than 95 % of working days at Primary & Upper Primary level till end of 2nd Quarter of 2013-14. Govt of Nagaland has not submitted the QPR I & QPR II data in 2013-14. The States/UTs should be served meals to all the working days. As per RTE Act, 2009, the schools should be open for at least 200 days in primary and 220 days for upper primary.

3.2 Mismatch in utilization of food grain and cooking cost against number of meal served

| >=20% | Between 10% to 20% | < 10% |
|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Himachal | Haryana | Tripura(6%), Arunachal Pradesh(5%), Karnataka(4%), |
| Pradesh | (14%) | Bihar(1%), Tamil Nadu(1%), West |
| (43%), | | Bengal(1%),Punjab(1%),Rajasthan(1%),Goa (-2%),Jammu & |

| Daman & Diu (37%), Chandigarh (30%), Sikkim (25%), Assam (21%) | | Kashmir(-2%),A & N Islands(-3%),Kerala(-4%),Jharkhand(- 5%),Andhra Pradesh(-6%),Uttar Pradesh(-7%),Odisha(- 7%),D& N Haveli(-7%),MP(-7%),Maharashtra(- 8%),Uttarakhand(-8%),Chhattisgarh(-8%),Lakshadweep(- 13%),Manipur(-17%),Delhi(-19%),Puducherry(-19%),Gujrat(- 40%),Mizoram(-48%), Meghalaya(-58%) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (5 State/UT) | (1 State) | (28 States/UTs) |

The mismatch between utilisation of foodgrains and cooking cost is observed high in UTs viz. Lakshadweep, Chandigarh and Andaman & Nicobar Islands due to more cooking cost share than the norms. Puducherry is providing additional foodgrains to the children from their own resources so the utilization has more than the cooking cost. Govt of Nagaland has not submitted the QPR I & QPR II data.

3.3 Utilization of food grain, cooking cost and transportation assistance

| a) Food grain | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| >= 90% | between 75% to 90% | below 75% | | |
| Meghalaya (102 %), Sikkim (100%) Karnataka (98%), Mizoram (98%), Manipur (97%), Delhi (95%), Tamil Nadu (93%), Gujrat (93%), A& N Islands (93%), Punjab (93%), Daman & Diu (92%), | Arunachal Pradesh (89%), Goa (89%), D & N Haveli (89%), Madhya Pradesh (88%), Kerala (88%), Maharashtra (88%), Himachal Pradesh (88%), Jammu & Kashmir (87%), Andhra Pradesh (85%), Uttarakhand (84%), Orissa (83%), Lakshadweep (83%), Jharkhand (82%), Chhattisgarh (81%), Tripura (80%), Assam (78%), Uttar Pradesh (78%), Bihar (77%), Haryana (77%), West Bengal (76%), | Rajasthan (74%), Puducherry (73%), Chandigarh (59%) Nagaland (43%) upto first quarter. | | |
| (11 States/UTs) | (20 States/UTs) | (4 States/UTs) | | |

a) Food grain

The above table shows that 20 States/UTs have shown their utilisation quite satisfactory i.e. food grains utilized more than 89%. However, in 4 States the utilisation of food grains is very low i.e. below the basis of the data submitted by the States/UTs up to the 2nd quarter. The States/UTs should ensure that schools serve MDMS in all working days with prescribed quantity and quality.

It is informed that the requirement of foodgrains for the year 2014-15 has been quantified and sent to Department of Food & Public Distribution for allocation. The foodgrains for the next year would be allocated to the States/UTs in the month of February to enable the States/UTs to lift it in one month advance i.e. from 1st March, 2014.

| (b) | Cooking | Cost |
|-----|---------|------|
|-----|---------|------|

| >= 90% | between 75% to 90% | below 75% |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Sikkim (137%), Himachal | Chandigarh (89 %), | Rajasthan (73%), |
| Pradesh (131%), Daman& Diu | Tripura (86%), Jammu & | Chhattisgarh (73%), Uttar |
| (130%), Karnataka (102%), | Kashmir (85%), Kerala | Pradesh (71%), |
| Assam (99%), Tamil Nadu | (84%), | Lakshadweep (70%), |
| (95%), Arunachal Pradesh | D& N Haveli (82%), | |
| (95%), Punjab (92%), Goa | Madhya Pradesh (81%), | Puducherry (54%), |
| (91%), Haryana (91%) A&N | Manipur (80%), | |
| Islands (90%), | Maharashtra (80%), | Gujrat (53%), |
| | Andhra Pradesh (79%), | Mizorom (EO%) |
| | Bihar (78%), | Mizoram (50%), |
| | Jharkhand (77%), | Meghalaya (44%) |
| | West Bengal (77%), Delhi (76%), | Woghalaya (1170) |
| | Orissa (76%), | Nagaland (39% upto 1 st |
| | Uttarakhand (76%), | quarter 2013) |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |
| (11 States/UTs) | (15 States/UTs) | (09 States/UTs) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Cooking cost is one of the main components of the MDMS. The Central Govt. has released the cooking cost to the States/UTs in time to run the MDMS program without interruption. The above table depicted that only 13 States/UTs were able to utilize cooking cost against the allocation for FY 2013-14 more than 90%. Whereas 15 States/UTs have utilized cooking cost between 75% and 90%. The low utilization of cooking cost is observed in 9 States/UTs viz., Rajasthan (73%), Chhattisgarh (73%), Uttar Pradesh (71%), Lakshadweep (70%), Puducherry (54%), Gujrat (53%), Mizoram (50%), Meghalaya (44%) and Nagaland (39%) This indicates that there are some problems for running the MDMS programme without interruption with prescribed calorific and nutritional values.

| >= 90% | between 75% to 90% | below 75% |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sikkim (166%), Daman & Diu (123%), Kerala (105%), Puducherry (97%), Andhra Pradesh (95%), Uttarakhand (94%), Punjab (94%), Arunachal Pradesh (90%) | Maharashtra (89%), Karnataka (88%), Orissa (80%), Chhattisgarh (80%) | Jammu & Kashmir (66%), Haryana (66%), Bihar (62%), Delhi (55%), Tripura (53%), West Bengal (53%), Mizoram (50%), Chandigarh (47%), Nagaland (47% upto 1 st quarter 2013), Himachal Pradesh (44%), Meghalaya (44%), Assam (44%), Gujrat (29%), Goa (27%), Tamil Nadu (24%), Rajasthan (13%), Jharkhand (12%), Uttar Pradesh (12%), Madhya Pradesh (0%), Manipur (0%), A&N Islands (0%), D& N Haveli (0%), Lakshadweep (0%) |
| (08 States/UTs) | (04 States/UTs) | (23 States/UTs) |

(c) Transportation Assistance

The payment to the transport agencies should be made in timely manner but above table depicted that the utilisation of Transportation Assistance is observed low in 23 States/UTs i.e. below 75% utilisation of TA.

3.4 Utilization of MME

Monitoring is an integral part of implementation of the MDM scheme and utilisation of MME funds shows the performance of the States/UTs in monitoring of the MDMS. The total allocation of MME fund for the first two quarters of 2013-14 is Rs.**11186.85** lakhs against this Rs. **6746.13** lakhs (60%) has been utilized by all the States/UTs. 7 States/ UTs have utilised more than 90% of the allocated fund at the beginning of the FY 2013-14.

| > 100% | 90% to 100% | 80% to 90% | < 80% |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Maharashtra (127%), Tripura (107%), Sikkim (105%), Orissa (102%), A & N Islands (101%), | Bihar (96%), Arunachal Pradesh (91%) | Punjab (85%), Karnataka (82%) | Chhattisgarh (78%), Rajasthan (76%), Uttarakhand (73%), West Bengal (66%), Manipur (62%), Madhya Pradesh (54%), Uttar Pradesh (48%), Meghalaya (48%), Mizoram (46%), Nagaland (45%), Himachal Pradesh (43%), Haryana (35%), Chandigarh (27%), Jammu & Kashmir (25%), Jharkhand (23%), |

| > 100% | 90% to 100% | 80% to 90% | < 80% |
|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | (02 | D&N Haveli (17%), Tamil Nadu (15%), Kerala (11%), Daman & Diu (10%), Delhi (10%), Andhra Pradesh (10%), Assam (5%), Gujrat (2%), Puducherry (0%), Goa (0%), Lakshadweep (0%) |
| (5 States/UTs) | (2 States/UTs) | (States/UTs) | (26 States/UTs) |
| | | | |

For better implementation of MDMS at the grass root level there are MME funds to be utilized fully by the States/UTs, whereas above table depict that the utilisation of MME is less than 80% in 26 States/UTs. In order to implement the scheme without interruption, the State has to setup management structure by hiring professional qualified staff on contract basis, monitoring of the Scheme, publicity, external evaluation, inspections, local level meetings / workshop, etc. from this funds.

3.5 Inspection by State officials

| >= 100% | 90% to 100% | 80% to | 70% to | < 70% |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | | 90% | 80% | |
| Chandigarh (396%), | Tamil Nadu | | Sikkim | Madhya Pradesh |
| Punjab (213%), | (94%), | Gujrat | (75%), | (68%), Himachal |
| Delhi (173%), Goa | Uttarakhand | (80%) | Lakshadw | Pradesh (58%), |
| (137%), Bihar (128%), D&N Haveli | (92%), | | eep (74%), | Karnataka (54% Assam |
| (127%), Uttar | | | Chhattisga | (50%), A&N Island |
| Pradesh (117%), | | | rh (70%) | (49%), Arunachal |
| Daman and Diu | | | · · · · · | Pradesh (46%), West |
| (110%), | | | | Bengal (36%) |
| | | | | J&K (34%), Jharkhand |
| | | | | (34%), Orissa (31%), |
| | | | | Tripura (28%), |
| | | | | Maharashtra (28%), |
| | | | | Haryana (27%) |
| | | | | Meghalaya (23%), |
| | | | | Manipur (21%), |
| | | | | Rajasthan (17%), |
| | | | | Kerala (17%), Andhra |
| | | | | Pradesh (16%), |
| | | | | Nagaland (13%), |
| | | | | Mizoram (12%), |
| (09 States/UTs) | | | | Puducherry (08%) |
| (08 States/UTs) | (02 | (01 States/UTs) | (03 | (21 States/UTs) |
| | States/UTs) | States/015) | State/UTs) | |
| | | | | |

It is evident from the above that inspection is more than 100% in 08 States. 21 states are below 70% and they are requested to put in place some system for the better inspection and monitoring of the Scheme. It is mentioned that Central Government provides 100% funds (MME) to the States / UTs for Management, Monitoring and Evaluation of the Scheme.

3.6 School Health Programme

Mid Day Meal has to an extent addressed the issue of classroom hunger and malnutrition amongst the school children. It also provides nutritional support for the school children through distribution of micronutrients to the children in convergence with the health department of the States/UTs. In convergence with National Rural Health Mission regular health check-ups of school children are supposed to be carried out at least twice a year. In addition distribution of Iron Folic Acid, De-worming tablets and Vitamin A has to be undertaken for the school children, as per the schedule of the Department of Health. The State/UTs are supposed to ensure implementation of this programme in convergence with the health dept.

While the status of coverage of children under School Health Programme is satisfactory in Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Jharkhand, Punjab, Sikkim & Tamil Nadu. In the States/UTs of Assam, Karnataka Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Kerala, Manipur and Madhya Pradesh, Nagaland, and Lakshadweep no child has been reported to be covered under SHP during the second quarter. These states should give special emphasis on this component, especially when sufficient funds are available under National Rural Health Mission to meet the health needs of the school children. Many avoidable illnesses and disabilities keep children out of school and are also responsible for dropout/absenteeism.

3.7 Status of QPR

Timely submission of Quarterly Progress Report is necessary for regular monitoring and analysis of the performance of the Scheme to take corrective measures timely. It is evident from above that only 10 States/UTs had submitted 2^{nd.} QPR in time. 2 States/UTs have delayed the submission by more than one month.

| In time | Within one month | After one month |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Andhra Pradesh, | Arunachal Pradesh (13 Days), Assam (6 | |
| Bihar, | days), Gujarat (2 days), Haryana (7 days), | Manipur (), |
| Chhattisgarh, | Himachal Pradesh (25 days), Jammu & | |
| Goa, Punjab, | Kashmir (21 days), Jharkhand (16 days), | Nagaland (Not Received) |
| Orissa, Rajsthan, | Karnataka (11 days), Kerala (6 days), | |
| Uttarakhand (3 | Madhya Pradesh (13 days), Maharashtra (1 | |
| days), A&N | days), Meghalaya (26 days), Mizoram (5 | |
| Islands, | days), Sikkim (15 days), Tamil Nadu (26 | |
| Lakshadweep | days), Tripura (13 days), Uttar Pradesh (15 | |
| | days), West Bengal (8 days), | |
| | Chandigarh (1 Days), D&N Haveli (12 | |

| | days), Delhi (6 days), Puducherry (12 days) Daman & Diu (6 days) | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| (10 States/UTs) | (23 States/UTs) | (2 States/UTs) |

3.8 Availability of Gas based Cooking, Drinking water, toilet facility and height & weight measurement tools in school

| (a) | Gas | based | Cooking |
|-----|-----|-------|---------|
| (~) | ouo | Sacca | cooning |

| 90-100% | 80-90% | 60-80% | 40-60% | 40-10% | < 10% |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Haryana (99%), Punjab (99%) Karnataka (98%), D&N Haveli (100%), Daman & Diu (100%) | Himachal Pradesh (86%), Nagaland (80%) | Gujarat (77%), Jammu & Kashmir (61%) | Mizoram (50%) Uttar Pradesh (58%) Sikkim (51%), Uttarakhand (47%) Uttar Pradesh (58%) | Andhra Pradesh (36%), Maharashtra (23%), Rajasthan (31%), Tamil Nadu (10%), A&N Islands (22%), | Arunachal Pradesh (2%), Assam (3%), Chhattisgarh (1%), Jharkhand (2%), West Bengal (7%), Tripura (2%)Puducherry (1%), Bihar (0%), Goa (0%), Meghalaya (0%), Kerala (0%), Chandigarh (0%), Delhi (0%), Lakshadweep (0%), Odisha (0%), Manipur , |
| (5 States/UTs) | (2 States /UTs) | (2 States/UTs) | (5 States/UTs) | (5 States/UTs) | (16 States / UTs) |

It is evident from the above table that LPG is used for cooking in majority of schools (80 to 100%) in 7 States and below 40% in 5 States. 9 States / UTs have reported that there is no LPG connection in any of the schools. The States/UTs like Karnataka (98%), Haryana (99%), D&N Haveli (100%), Daman & Diu (100%) use LPG for cooking in almost all the schools.

The additional funds of Rs.296 crore for LPG cylinders on account of withdrawal of subsidy had been released to States/UTs during 2012-13 and none of the States/UTs had utilized in the said year except Karnataka. A sum of Rs.310 crore has been released to 14 States/UTs during the current year towards meeting the additional expenditure on unsubsidized LPG cylinders. The remaining States are requested to furnish he proposal within a week to enable this department to release the funds.

| 100% | 90-100% | 80-90% | 60-80% | 50-60% | < 50% |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| , Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Mizoram, Maharashtra, Haryana Uttarakhand, West Bengal, A&N Islands, Chandigarh, Lakshadweep, | Chhattisgarh (95%) Goa (90%) Kerala & Punjab (99%) Sikkim (92%) Tamil Nadu (94%) West Bengal (97%) | Jammu & Kashmir (81%), Jharkhand (87%), Meghalaya (83%) Uttar Pradesh (89%)Gujarat (86%),D&NH (86%) | Andhra Pradesh (64%), Assam (78%) Bihar (79%), Manipur (66%) Odisha (69%) Puducherry (70%) | Tripura (57%) | Arunachal Pradesh (42%), Nagaland (0%) Rajasthan (0%) Delhi (0%) |
| (11 States/UTs) | (7 States/UTs) | (6 States/UTs) | (6 States/UT s) | (1 States/UT s) | (4 States/UTs) |

(b) Drinking Water

It is evident from the table above that drinking water is available in all the schools in 11 States/UTs. 4 States have reported less than 50% schools available with drinking water facility with Delhi and Rajasthan reporting no availability of drinking water facility in any of the schools.

(c) Toilet Facilities

| 100% | 90-100% | 80-90% | 60-80% | 50-60% | < 50% |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Chhattisgarh, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Mizoram, A&N lands, Chandigarh, Damn & Diu and Lakshadweep Haryana | Assam (90%) Bihar (95%) Goa (95%) Jammu & Kashmir (90%) Jharkhand (90%) Kerala (99%) Maharashtra (96%) Orissa (97%) Punjab and Rajasthan (99%) Tamil Nadu (95%) Uttar Pradesh (91%) Uttarakhand | Gujarat & Sikkim (86%) | Andhra Pradesh (74%), Tripura (60%) Puducherry (70%) | Manipur (55%) Meghalaya (53%) | Nagaland (0%), Delhi (0%) |

| | (92%) West Bengal (98%) D&NH (96%) | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| (10 States/UTs) | (15 States/UTs) | (2 States) | (3 States/UTs) | (2 States) | (2 States) |

It is evident from the table above that the toilet facility is available in all the schools in 10 States/UTs. 2 States have reported less than 50% schools available with drinking water facility. It is relevant to mention that the non-availability of toilet facilities leads less attendance in schools especially female child.

4. Outstanding position of payment to FCI against issue of food grain under MDM Scheme up to 30.04.2013

The MDM Guidelines envisaged that cost of foodgrains shall be paid to FCI by district authorities within 20 days after receiving the bills from FCI. However, it has been observed that huge amount of bills are pending in many States. The payment to FCI was reviewed with States/UTs in the regional workshops held during November & December, 2013 and States were requested to make payment on priority basis. The current status is as under:

| >= 50% | 30% to | 20% to | < 20% |
|--------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|
| | 50% | 30% | |
| Sikkim (100%), D&D (93%), Delhi (87%), | Andhra | Chandigarh | Uttarakhand |
| Uttar Pradesh (84%), Jharkhand (81%), | Pradesh | (26%), | (18%), Tamil |
| Maharashtra (76%), Bihar (68%), J&K (68%), | (39%) | West | Nadu (17%), |
| Rajasthan (62%), Gujrat (54%), | Haryana | Bengal | Karnataka |
| | (38%), | (21%), | (16%), |
| (10 States/UTs) | Himachal | | Arunachal |
| | Pradesh | | Pradesh (16%), |
| | (33%), | | Assam (16%), |
| | Orissa | | Madhya |
| | (30%), | (02 States) | Pradesh (14%), |
| | (04States) | | Punjab (14%) |
| | | | N&M (14%), NE |
| | | | (7%) |
| | | | Chhattisgarh |
| | | | (0%) |
| | | | (10States/UTs) |
| | | | |

According to the FCI statements provided to the Department, it is revealed that 10 States/UTs have made the payment above 50%. The above table depict that in the entire

North Eastern States there is very low payment made to FCI i.e. below 20% of the billed raised by FCI. The State wise and year wise outstanding payment as on 30th November, 2013 are given at <u>Annexure-II.</u> The States/UTs should be look into the matter and 100% payment to the FCI should be made against their bills raised within fortnight.

5. Progress of completion of construction of Kitchen-cum-Stores

State wise details of progress of kitchen-cum-stores for the period ending 30th September 2013 are at Annexure –

| 90-100% | 50-70% | 25-50% | < 25% |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Andhra Pradesh (91%), A&N Islands* (100%), D&N Haveli (100%) | Kerala (67%), Manipur (62%) | Haryana (31%), Jharkhand (36%) Maharashtra (49%), Tamil Nadu (49%) Uttarakhand (27%) Chandigarh (30% | Arunachal Pradesh (1%) Assam (19%) Bihar (21%) Chhattisgarh (6%) Goa (0%) Gujarat (7%) Himachal Pradesh & Jammu & Kashmir (2%) Karnataka (14%) Madhya Pradesh (6%) Meghalaya (1%) Punjab (3%) Rajasthan (15%) , Odisha (16%) Sikkim |
| 3 States/UTs | 2 States/UTs |) 6 States/UTs | (8%) Uttar Pradesh (10%) West Bengal (19%) Daman & Diu (19%) Mizoram Delhi, Lakshadweep, Tripura & Nagaland (0%) Puducherry (100%) |
| | | | 24 States/UTs |

%age of schools where construction not yet started in States/UTs

Provision of infrastructure facilities such as kitchen-cum-store are an essential component for proper implementation of the MDM scheme for supply of healthy, hygienic and hot cooked meal to the children and also safe storage of food grains at the school level. It is relevant to mention that provision of kitchen cum store has also been made mandatory under Right to Education Act 2009. The Government of India has sanctioned 1000713 units of kitchen sheds for schools. States/UTs have constructed only **649599** units (66%) by the second quarter of 2013-14. Unavailability of proper kitchen cum stores and storage facilities has also been reported by several Monitoring Institutions in their Half Yearly Monitoring Reports.

The pace of construction of kitchen-cum-store is very slow and needs urgent attention in the States / UTs of Andhra Pradesh (9%), Kerala (13%), Maharashtra (42%), Tamil Nadu (19%), Jharkhand (45%), Uttarakhand (52%), Haryana (56%) and Odisha (52%) etc. where less than 60% of the sanctioned kitchen cum stores have been constructed <u>(Annexure-III)</u>. During the recent regional workshops and national workshop held at Bengaluru, these States/ UTs have been requested to complete the pending kitchen-cum-stores in a time bound manner. All these

States are therefore again requested to work out a time bound plan for of construction of kitchen–cum-stores for safe storage and hygienic cooking of MDM.

6. Availability of eating plates

As per MDM Guidelines there is no provision to provide funds to States/UTs for procurement of eating plates for the children in the schools. However, it has been observed that many States/UTs have reported through MDM-MIS that eating plates are available in the schools for the children to take the meals in hygienic manner. It is worthy to mention that Govt. of Bihar has provided funds of Rs. 12 crore to the schools for procurement of eating plates. It is requested that the States may take help from CSR funds or from State resources and provide eating plates to the children.

7. Payment of honorarium to Cook-cum-helpers

One of the most important components in the MDMS is Cook-cum-helpers (CCHs). It is evident from the above table that none of the states has paid 100% honorarium to cook-cum-helpers. The States/UTs should develop mechanism for making 100% payment of honorarium to CCHs <u>through bank account</u> in a time bound manner. The State wise engagement and payment to cook-cum-helpers are given at <u>Annexure-IV</u>.

8. Release of funds

The Central Government has released funds of Rs. 10683.72 crore to all the States/UTs during the year 2013-14. The States/UTs wise release of funds is given at <u>Annexure-V.</u>

9. Capacity Building

Preparation of hygienic and wholesome meal under the MDMS is contingent upon the knowledge and skills of staff and cook-cum-helpers engaged for providing meal in the schools. The Cook cum Helpers (CCH) and Self Help Groups are the pillars of the MDMS, mainly come from the deprived sections of the society, where they have limited information about nutrition, cooking processes, health and hygiene, preparation of raw grains and vegetables, recipes, serving skills etc. About 24.74 lakh of cook-cum-helpers are engaged in cooking in the Mid-Day Meal Scheme (MDMS). These staffs have also not being provided adequate orientation on issues relating to health, hygiene & cleanliness. The insufficiently trained staff, at times becomes a big constraint in the effective management of MDMS.

It is, therefore, essential that the capacity of the workforce at the field level is built on an ongoing basis. The Ministry of Human Resource Development has accordingly assigned the task of conducting the training of cook-cum-helpers from Ministry of Tourism through their State Hotel Management Institutes and Food Craft Institutes and with the help of NGOs. Ten days training course has been totally sponsored by the Ministry of Tourism under their

Capacity Building for Service Providers (CBSP) scheme. States/UTs like Punjab, Haryana, Chandigarh, Goa Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Daman & Diu, Madhya Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Assam, and Odisha have availed of the training programme effectively. The trainings have also been started in West Bengal, Karnataka and Rajasthan. With the help of NGOs and others the training has been provided to States as mentioned below:

| State | Name of the Districts | Training Time | No of CCH Trained |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Meghalaya | East, West & South West Khasi Hills & East Jaintia Hills | 11-13 November 2013 | 100 Cook Cum Helpers |
| Assam | Nalbari, Nagaon Dhemaji | 7-14 November 2013 | 114 Cook Cum Helpers |
| Odisha | Bhubaneshwar, Koraput, Bhadrak, Nayagarh | 8-10 October 2013 | 114 Cook Cum Helpers |
| Jharkhand | Ranchi, Khunti, Ramgarh | 26-28 September 2013 | 112 Cook Cum Helpers |
| Bihar | Vaishali Saran, Nalanda | 29-31 st August 2013 | 114 Cook Cum Helpers |
| Tripura | Sepahijala, West and East Tripura | 9-11 January 2013 | 124 Cook Cum Helpers |
| Manipur | Imphal West - Zone 1 Wangoi, Thoubal – Kakching, Senapati | 14-16 January 2014 | 122 Cook Cum Helpers |
| Sikkim | West and East Sikkim | 21-23 January 2014 | Scheduled |

10. Status of Data Entry into web enabled MIS for MDM

| (i) St | tatus of Annual | Data Entry | into the portal |
|--------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
|--------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|

| 100% | 90-100% | < 90% |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chandigarh, Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Daman & Diu, Kerala, Lakshadweep, Mizoram, Puducherry, Sikkim, Tripura, Uttarakhand, Karnataka, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Haryana, Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh, Manipur, Rajasthan, West Bengal | Madhya Pradesh (99%), Orissa (99%), Goa (99%), Gujarat (98%), Arunachal Pradesh (98%), Maharashtra (97%), Bihar (96%), Uttar Pradesh (94%), Assam (90%) | A & N Island (89%), Jharkhand (87%), Tamil Nadu (82%), Jammu & Kashmir (79%), Delhi (62%), Nagaland (58%), Meghalaya (49%) |
| 19 States/UTs | 9 States/UTs | 7 States/UTs |

All the States/UTs have fed annual data entry for 96% schools across the country during 2013-14. Most of the States/UTs have almost completed the annual data entry into the

portal except Gujarat (98%), Arunachal Pradesh (98%), Maharashtra (97%), Bihar (96%), Uttar Pradesh (94%), Assam (90%), A & N Island (89%), Jharkhand (87%), Tamil Nadu (82%), Jammu & Kashmir (79%), Delhi (62%), Nagaland (58%) and Meghalaya (49%).

It is relevant to mention that data entry into the portal was one of the pre-requisite conditions for approval of the Annual Work Plan & Budget for the year 2014-15 and all the above mentioned State / UT's Govt. was advised to complete the data entry while approving the plan. Annual data includes vital information like category wise enrolment, teacher details, cook-cum-helpers details with social composition, availability of Infrastructural facilities like Kitchen-cum-stores & Kitchen devices, mode of cooking, drinking water, toilet facilities etc.

| 100% | 90-100% | 80-90% | 60-80% | 40-60% | < 40% |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| D & N Haveli, Tripura | Goa (99%), Daman & Diu (99%) Chandigarh (97%), Kerala (97%), Arunachal Pradesh (91%) | Manipur (88%), Uttarakhand (87%), Himachal Pradesh (87%), Rajasthan (86%), Karnataka (86%), West Bengal (84%) | Sikkim (76%), Madhya Pradesh (75%), Gujarat (73%), Chhattisgar h (71%), Andhra Pradesh (70%), Odisha (63%) | Maharashtra (55%), Haryana (53%), Punjab (53%), Puducherry (46%) | Jharkhand (38%), Delhi (35%), Uttar Pradesh (34%), Tamil Nadu (30%), Jammu & Kashmir (27%), Assam (24%), Nagaland (9%), Meghalaya (7%), Mizoram (4%), A & N Islands (0%), Lakshadweep (0%), Bihar (0%) |
| (2 States/UTs) | (5 States/UTs) | (6 States/UTs) | (6 States/UTs) | (4 States/UTs) | (12 States/UTs) |

(ii) Status of Monthly Data Entry into the portal for November, 2013

The States/UTs are also making monthly data entries into the portal, which helps in monitoring the critical components/ indicators of the MDMS such as no. of meals served, utilization of food grain & cooking cost, honorarium paid to cook-cum-helpers, school inspection details etc. Monthly data entry has been completed for 57% of the schools for the month of November, 2013. It is evident from above that 2 States/UTs have

completed 100% data entry, 5 States/UTs have completed data entry between 90%-to-99% of the schools for the month of November, 2013. In the States/UTs like Jharkhand (38%), Delhi (35%), Uttar Pradesh (34%), Tamil Nadu (30%), Jammu & Kashmir (27%), Assam (24%), Nagaland (9%), Meghalaya (7%), Mizoram (4%), A & N Islands (0%), Lakshadweep (0%), Bihar (0%). the data entry process is very slow. The monthly data entry has further reduced to 36% in December, 2013. The States may utilize the MME fund for outsourcing monthly data entry in a time bound manner as the same would help in regular monitoring of the scheme at the grass root level.

11. Status of meeting of State Steering-cum-Monitoring Committee and District Vigilance & Monitoring Committee

| | Number of Meetings held till 30.09.2013 in 2013-14 | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | |
| Nil | Rajasthan | Karnataka, | Andhra Pradesh | Arunachal | |
| | | Manipur, Delhi, | Bihar, Chhattisgarh, | Pradesh, Assam, | |
| | | | Gujrat, Haryana, | Goa, Jharkhand | |
| | (01 State) | | Himachal Pradesh, | M.P, Maharashtra, | |
| | | | J&K, Kerala , | Mizoram, | |
| | | (3States / UTs) | Meghalaya Sikkim, | Nagaland, Orissa, | |
| | | | Uttar Pradesh, West | Punjab, Tamil | |
| | | | Bengal, A&N Islands , | Nadu, Tripura, | |
| | | | (13States / UTs) | Uttarakhand, | |
| | | | | Chandigarh, D&N | |
| | | | | Haveli, Daman & | |
| | | | | Diu, | |
| | | | | Lakshadweep, | |
| | | | | Puducherry | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | (18 States / UTs) | |
| | | | | | |

It is explicitly clear that no meeting of SSMC has been convened in 18 States/UTs till 30.09.2013 during 2013-14.

MDM guidelines provide that SSMC meeting should be convened in each quarter under the Chairmanship of Chief Secretary of the States / UTs. The SSMC is an apex body headed by the Chief Secretary at the State/UT level for guiding, monitoring and implementation of the scheme at State/UT level. A representative of the Department of School Education and Literacy, Government of India and nodal officer of the Monitoring Institute should be invariably invited to the meetings to share their views.

12. Social Audit

The main objective of the social audit revolves around the creation of awareness among beneficiaries under any scheme and empowers them. Social accountability ensures transparency, reduces leakages, forces proper spending of funds, generates trust and creates demand led improvement in the services. Ever increasing outlays on the social sector programmes and the decentralised implementation, the participation of stakeholders and civil society in monitoring the implementation of the programmes cannot be ignored. In order to ensure the accountability of the programme managers and implementing agencies, the Government of India has embedded social audit in one form or the other in almost all the flag ship programmes.

In the country for the first time in Andhra Pradesh, social audit was completed in Khammam and Chittoor districts on MDMS on pilot basis. The findings are very encouraging. The report is available on the MDM Website.

The process to conduct Social Audit in 2 districts each in 9 States is under consideration. The States/UTs may adopt the same methodology and initiate the process of social audit.

13. Poor performing district

On the basis of performance for the implementation of MDMS during FY 2012-13 (Coverage vis-à-vis enrolment) this department has identified 127 poor performing districts across the country. The performance in these districts reflects on the importance of prepositioning of resources like food grains and cooking cost because their non-availability may be a reason for the less coverage. The strategies for improvement in these poorly performance districts should be given in the Annual Work Plan & Budget of the year 2014-15. The details list of these districts is attached in the <u>Annexure-VI.</u>

14. Provisions for MDM under NFSA

The National Food Security Act, 2013 is in effect from 1st July, 2013 and mandates that nutritious mid day meal shall be provided to the children as per the calorific and nutritional norms given in schedule-II of the Act. The Act also provides that in case of non-serving of meals to the children, Food Security allowance may be provided by the States/UTs as per the norms laid down by the Central Government.

15. Major recommendation of the JRM

The Fifth Joint Review Mission has visited 18 States and UTs so far; Gujarat, Delhi, Himachal Pradesh, Tripura, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Manipur, Jharkhand, Bihar, Odisha, Tamil Nadu, Madhya Pradesh, Meghalaya, West Bengal, Arunachal Pradesh, Assam & Puducherry during 2013-14. Currently JRMs are visiting Punjab and Rajasthan also.

Common major Findings of the JRM Reports

- **1-** Sensitisation of officials at District and Block level towards their role and responsibilities is essential for effective implementation of MDMS.
- 2- Levels of fund flow should be minimised so that the funds should reach in time to the implementing agencies. There is an urgent need to streamline the timely release of funds to the Districts, schools for the uninterrupted and smooth implementation of scheme; timely payment to cook-cum-helpers and Food Corporation of India. Andhra Pradesh' Green Channel Scheme may be adopted
- 3- It has been recommended that the undernourished children, especially the 14 year age group, should be identified by periodical health check-ups. The identified children should be given special care during MDM.
- 4- It has been recommended that the MDMS guidelines with respect to tasting of the meal by the teachers should be strictly followed.
- 5- In MDM menu, greens are used only as seasoning, quantity is very less. Separate green based recipes should be incorporated in menu.
- 6- Serving size is highly approximate. This measure should be used to serve food as per norms
- 7- Better convergence with the School Health program is essential. There is a need for the regular health monitoring. Vitamin A, Iron and Folic Acid supplements and deworming tablets should be provided on regular basis to the schools. Further, proper instructions regarding their dosage should be given to the teachers. Health cards, with duly filled record of each child need to be maintained and updated regularly in all the schools.
- 8- The State Govt. should engage adequate number of Cook-cum-Helpers in the schools as per norms. There is need to educate the cooks regarding proper weighment of raw ingredients.
- **9-** Drinking water should be tested for any type of biological contamination and remedial purification to be done accordingly.
- **10-** The rights and entitlement of children, menu, MDM logo, and emergeny contact numbers should be displayed prominently on the outside wall of the schools
- **11-**The meals should be more nutrient dense and nutritionally balanced. Inclusion of higher amounts of non- tuber vegetables is recommended.

- **12-**The gunny bags used for food grains can be auctioned and the amount can be utilized for better implementation of MDMS
- **13-** FIFO (First in and First Out) system may be followed in the utilisation of oil and other ingredients before their prescribed shelf life or 'best before use' period. Cooks and Helpers should be sensitized to 'First in First out' principle.
- 14- A concerted effort for Health and Nutrition Education (HNE) is required for children, parents, teachers, cooks and caretakers. Feeding program along with HNE, may prove more effective in improving the health and nutritional status of the children
- **15-** Effective monitoring mechanism should be developed by the State Govt. to ensure periodic inspection of the scheme by officials at all levels. Inspecting Officers should record their observations on the implementation of MDMS.
- 16- Provisions of Social and Community Audits should be made by the government to evaluate the implementation of programme and to identify gaps, with the involvement of PRIs and S.M.C members. The process should begin with capacity building of the concerned persons for the purpose
- **17-**The authorities may work out feasibility of supplying grains in MDM-Stamped gunny/Poly bags to avoid pilferage during transportation
- 18- In view of the high prevalence of fire wood chulhas as a mode of cooking in the rural areas action may be initiated to provide environment eco-friendly smokeless chulhas in convergence with the concerned department in the State.
- **19-**Certain amount may be earmarked from the MME fund for procurement of large containers for storing food grains in schools so that rats and other insects may not spoilt the rice.
- **20-**Cooking is done mainly by firewood which not only denudes the forests but also produces a lot of smoke which is hazardous for health. The State Government may now install/convert chulhas into smokeless chulhas to combat the above problem.
- 21- State Review Mission: The State Govt. should also constitute Review Mission at the State level and send them to various districts of the State every six months to review the implementation of the programme. The Stat Govt. officials looking after MDMS may join the Review Mission in other states under exchange scheme. Orientation visits to other states to see the implementation of the scheme will also widen the horizon of the officials
- 22-The Mid Day Meal Program is routed through schools so that correct nutrition messages, good eating habits and hygiene and sanitary practices are imparted and

inculcated in children. The team strongly recommends availability of adequate water, adequate hygienic and sanitary toilet facilities and safe drinking water for the school going children

23- Action Plan may be drawn up with the time lines for construction of kitchen-cumstores for which central assistance has already been received by the State Government.

The findings of the Review Missions have been shared with the Stats/UTs with request to furnish the Action Taken Note. The Action Taken note is still awaited from all the States/UTs.

16. Testing of meals by accredited labs

The services of National Accreditation Board of Laboratories would be hired by the States/UTs to carry out scientific testing of food samples in different parts of the country to ensure quality food to the children. The States/UTs Government may consider to engage the Government Labs/CSIR/NABL/FSSAI/Food & Nutrition Board Units for testing of the meals served under Mid Day Meal Scheme.

17. Revision of Guidelines

Draft Guidelines was circulated to all States/ UTs with request to furnish their comments. It is again circulated with request to provide comments.

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State | |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | State Proposed not to cover NCLP Schools during 2013-14 because they are to be streamlined with primary and upper primary schools | • | the action taken has been | |

18. ATN on State Commitments in PAB- MDM 2013-14

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State | |
| | | Down ward trend in availing of Mid-Day Meal by 66% children being served mid-day meal from the Centralised kitchen of NANDI in Hyderabad. | Principal Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh assured to look into this aspect under intimation to Department of School Education & Literacy. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State. | |
| | | Less coverage of Primary children in Tirap (24%) and Tawang (13%) due to problem in deliver of food grains because of difficult terrain. | Additional Secretary (EE-I) advised the State to maintain buffer stock in schools for two months at least. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | As per MI Report, MDM is served only for 2-3 days in a week. | Commissioner (Edn) to look in to the issue and submit Action Taken Note to this Department | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| | | Revision of Transport Allowance rates from Rs.2600 to Rs.5200 per MT. | State Government to submit a detailed proposal along with supporting documents. | No proposal has been received from the State | |
| | | Delay in the release of funds to schools | Commissioner (Edn) informed that the State is trying to adopt Green Channel Scheme of Andhra Pradesh. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| 3 | Assam | Delay in the release of funds to schools | State Government's representative mentioned that the State Government is finalising the modalities for reducing the time lag in releasing funds to schools | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Low coverage children in Tinsukhia, Dina Hasao, Bongaigaon, Nagaon and Goalpara. | Commissioner-cum- Secretary (Edn), Assam assured to look into the downward trend. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | e-payment to Cook-cum- helpers (CCH) | Commissioner-cum- Secretary (Edn), Assam assured that e-payment system would be developed and introduced in the State for timely payment to CCH. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 4 | Bihar | Less number of transporters are wiling to transport MDM food grains. | State was advised to submit proposal for enhancement of TA at PDS rates along with full justification. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 32 cases of Sickle-cell anaemia in Dhamdha block of Durg district | Secretary (SE&L) requested the State for a speedy action on the issue. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Poor Performance of Akshya Patra in Bilaspur and Durg | Shri Biraj Patnaik, Princiapal Adviser, Office of Supreme Court Commissioner advised the State Government to reconsider for continuation of contract of Akshya Patra. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Low coverage of children at primary stage in Bilaspur, Durg, Mungeli, Daantewada, Sarguja, Korba and Bemetara districts and in upper primary districts in Durg, Bilaspur, Sarguja, Mungeli, Surajpur and Bemetara | Secretary (Edn), Chhattisgarh assured that the State would take necessary action to streamline the management structure for effective implementation of MDMS. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Delay in the release of funds to schools | Secretary (Edn), Chhattisgarh assured that appropriate steps would be taken for release of funds in a timely manner for smooth implementation of the scheme. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Less coverage of working days (153 days against 162 days) both at primary and upper primary level. | Commissioner, Gujarat assured that all the approved days would be covered in the 4 th Quarter. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 6 | Gujarat | Low utilisation (8%) of MME funds | State Officials assured that they would take necessary action in this regard to streamline the management structure for effective implementation of MDMS. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 7 | Goa | Revision of Menu of the State | Secretary (Edn) informed that the menu would be revised keeping in view the nutritional values prescribed in MDMS. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | MI findings on the delay in supply of MDM by 84 Self Help Groups | Secretary (Edn) informed that he would look into it and send the ATN. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State | |
| 8 | Haryana | Low Utilisation (43%) of MME | State Officials assured that they would take necessary action to streamline the management structure | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| 9 | Himachal Pradesh | Low coverage of children in primary and upper primary | Secretary (Edn) assured to look into it. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| | | Delay in the release of funds to schools | Secretary (Edn) assured that steps would be taken to ensure timely release of funds | | |
| | | e-payment to cook-cum- helpers | Secretary (Edn) assured that e- payment system will be developed for making timely payment to CCH. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| | | Low utilisation of MME | State Government assured to take action to streamline the management structure for effective implementation of the scheme. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |

| Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Low coverage of children | Principal Secretary (Edn) assured to look into it under intimation of DSE&L. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State. |
| 10 | Jharkhand | | Principal Secretary (Edn) assured to take appropriate action in this regard. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Delay in release of funds to the schools | | |
| 11 | Karnataka | Low coverage of children in primary stage | Secretary (Edn) assured to look into this issue. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Delay in the release of funds to schools | Secretary (SE&L) advised the State to follow Green Channel Scheme of Andhra Pradesh | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 12 | Kerala | Low Utilisation (5%) of MME | The State representatives assured to set up a monitoring mechanism for proper utilisation of MME funds. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Delay in the Construction of Kitchen- cum-Stores | Secretary (Edn) assured that remaining kitchen- cum-stores would be constructed on priority basis. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Coverage under SHP | State officials assured that proper convergence with Health and Social Welfare Department would beensured. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 13 | Manipur | Low coverage | Principal Secretary assured that the trend in migration to private schools would be reversed | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | Wanpar | Delay in release of funds | The State will follow Green Channel System of Andhra Pradesh. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 14 | Meghalaya | Delay in the release of funds | Principal Secretary mentioned that appropriate steps would be taken to release the funds in a timely manner | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Low Utilisation of MME funds | State is trying to adopt effective mechanism for monitoring. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 15 | Mizoram | Delay in the release of funds | Secretary assured to take up the matter with State Finance Department. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Construction of Kitchen- cum-store | An action plan would be chalked out for timely construction of kitchen-cum- stores | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Fewer Inspections (16%) | Secretary assured to take necessary corrective action. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Poor School Health Programame | Secretary informed that he would take up the matter with his counterpart. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Low Utilisation of Food grain in Rewa, Badwani, Sheopur, Umaria, Indore, Mandsaur and Dhar. | State assured that reasons would be found out for low utilisation of food grain. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 16 | Madhya Pradesh | Engagement of Cook- cum-Helpers (CCH) | State assured to engage all the remaining CCH as per approval of PAB | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Low Utilisation of MME | Necessary action would be taken to streamline the management structure for effective implementation of the scheme. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State | |
| | | Less coverage of Primary and Upper Primary children | Representative of the State Government assured to look into this matter. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| | | Monitoring of Poor Performing Districts | Action Taken Report to be submitted to MHRD | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| 17 | Maharashtra | Delay in the release of funds | Addl. Chief Secy assured that appropriate steps would be taken for timely release of funds | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |
| | | Low Utilisation of MME (49%) | State Officials assured to take necessary action to set up management structure for effective implementation of the scheme. | | |
| 18 | Nagaland | Low coverage of children in Mokchung, Peren and Workha | Commissioner-cum- Secretary assured to look into the matter under intimation to this Department | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | |

| | Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Delay in release of funds | Commissioner and Secretary mentioned that appropriate steps would be taken for timely release of funds | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Fewer Inspection (46%) | Steps are being taken to adopt effective mechanism for improving monitoring. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 19 | Odisha | Delay in release of funds | Commissioner-cum- Secretary informed that a mechanism would be developed within two months to release funds from State Finance Deptt directly to SPMU | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 20 | Punjab | Low Utilisation of MME | Necessary action would be taken and young and talented persons would be engaged under MDMS for proper monitoring of the scheme. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Revised Formula for BMI adopted by Punjab. | DG, School Education promised to submit the formula to dse&l | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

| Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State | | | |
| 21 | Rajasthan | Delay in release of funds | The State is considering to adopt a system of e- transfer of funds | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | |
| 22 | Sikkim | Delay in release of funds | Secretary (HRDD) informed that the State has taken a decision to follow Green Channel System of Andhra Pradesh and the issue of sanction is under process. | the action taken has been received from | | | |
| 23 | Tamil Nadu | Lifting and Utilisation of Food grains in Nilgiri, Sivaganga, Ariyalur, Ramnad, Thiruvannamali, Perumbadur and Theni | State officials assured to look into the reasons for low utilisation of food graings | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | |
| | | Engaging Contractual Staff through MME funds | State officials assured to take necessary action in this regard. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | |
| | | Construction of Kitchen- cum-stores | State assured to complete the construction of kitchen-cum-store during 2013-14. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | |

| Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State | | | | |
| 24 | Uttarakhand | Delay in release of funds | Secretary (Edn) assured to introduce Green Channel System of Andhra Pradesh. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | | |
| | | Growing of Green Vegetables in Schools where land is available | State promised to explore this possibility. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | | |
| | | Training of Bhojan Matas | Secretary (Edn) promised to depute some Bhojan Matas for their capacity building through training. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | | |
| 25 | Uttar Pradesh | Coverage of NCLP Children and ensuring serving of MDM to NCLP children. | State assured to coordinate with Labour Department. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | | |

| Actionable Points as per Minutes of PAB-MDM-2013-14. | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State | | | |
| | | Action Plan for achieving National Coverage of 72% | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to develop some action plan. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | |
| | | Low Lifting and utilisation of food grain in Hapur, Muzzafarnagar, Rai Bariellly, Shamli, Lucknow, Jaunpur, Aligarh, Amethi, Bandha, JP Nagar, Firozabad, Gazipur. | State Officials assured that reasons would be identified for the low utilisation of food grain. | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | |
| | | Delay in release of funds | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to develop some action plan No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | |
| 26 | West Bengal | Non Serving of MDM on Saturday | The State is taking action to resolve the issue. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to develop some action plan. No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State | | | |

| | Action | able Points as per Minutes | s of PAB-MDM-2013-14 | 4. |
|-------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Delay in release of funds | Secretary (Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. | |
| | | Less than 50% utilisation of food grains in Cooch Behar, N-24 Pargana, Mednipur, Dakin Dinajpur, Malda, Kolkata. | Secretary (Edn) assured to take necessary action in this regard. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 27 | Andaman & Nicobar Islands | Less Utilisation of MME (\$%) | UT officials promised to take necessary action to streamline the management structure for the smooth implementation of the scheme. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. |

| | Actiona | able Points as per Minutes | s of PAB-MDM-2013-14 | 4. |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | | | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 28 | Chandigarh | Low coverage of children | Secretary (Edn) assured to look into this aspect. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. |
| 29 | GNCT of Delhi | Revision of Menu | Secretary (Edn) informed that the State is in the process of revising its menu. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

| | Actiona | able Points as per Minutes | s of PAB-MDM-2013-14 | 4. |
|-------|---------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | Excess payment of TA to the lifting agencies | Action Taken Note to be submitted to MHRD. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| | | Low utilisation of MME (18%) | State officials assured to take necessary action to set up monitoring structure. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. |

| | Action | able Points as per Minutes | s of PAB-MDM-2013-14 | 4. |
|-------|------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| S.No. | State | Subject | State's Commitment/MHRD Advice | Feedback to GOI on Action Taken by the State |
| | | | | No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |
| 30 | Puducherry | No expenditure incurred against MME allocation | UT officials informed that they will take necessary action to streamline the management structure for effective implementation of the scheme. | Secretary (Basic Education) assured to take appropriate action to release the funds in a timely manner. No feedback on the action taken has been received from the State |

19. Emergency Health Plan

All the States / UTs should issue necessary instructions for linkages with primary health centre / community health centre / district hospital to ensure early treatment of the children and necessary instructions to paint emergency contact numbers of medical officer of nearby PHC/ CHC in the prominent place of all the schools. The Govt. of Odisha has prepared a booklet on contingency plan for management of MDMS. A copy of the same is circulated.

20. Way Forward

(i) New components

a) Provision of solar cooking and other alternative methods in one lakh schools in a phased manner during 12th Plan

(ii) **Proposed revision of existing norms**

- a) Cooking cost based on Mid Day Meal Price Index (7.5%).
- b) Honorarium to cook-cum-helpers from Rs.1000/- to Rs.2000/-
- c) Transportation assistance at par with PDS rate
- d) Rate of MME from 2% to 4%.
- e) Enhancement of norms for kitchen devices from Rs.5000/- to Rs.15000/- based on enrolment slabs.

<u>Annexure-I</u>

Enrollment % Coverage Coverage S.no States/UTs Up. Primary Up. Pry Total Primary Up. Pry Total Primary Total Pry Kerala Arunachal Pradesh Lakshadweep West Bengal Haryana Mizoram Puducherry Meghalaya Himachal Pradesh Karnataka Sikkim Assam Goa Punjab Tamil Nadu 1 ammu & Kashmir Manipur Maharashtra Orissa Chhattisgarh A&N Islands **D&N Haveli** Daman & Diu Andhra Pradesh Uttarakhand Madhya Pradesh Gujarat Bihar Tripura Delhi Rajasthan Jharkhand Uttar Pradesh Chandigarh Nagaland Info not submitted Total

COVERAGE OF CHILDREN

Annexure-II

PAYMENT TO FCI

Outstanding position against issue of foodgrains under MDM Scheme upto 30.11.2013

| | | | | | | | | | <u>(Rs. in</u> | Lacs) |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Region | Amo | ount due | Payment received | | Total | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | % of O/S to |
| | For the month | Cumulative | during the month | Cumulative | Outstanding | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | total billing |
| NORTH ZONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Punjab | 204.01 | 1611.10 | 175.36 | 1382.40 | 228.70 | | | | 228.70 | 14 |
| Chandigarh (U.T) | 9.14 | 34.54 | 4.15 | 25.40 | 9.14 | | | | 9.14 | 26 |
| Haryana | 200.86 | 1503.36 | 143.67 | 928.00 | 575.36 | | | | 575.36 | 38 |
| Rajasthan | 488.57 | 4292.72 | 581.95 | 1648.66 | 2644.06 | | 8.31 | 1240.08 | 1395.67 | 62 |
| Delhi | 106.11 | 1133.55 | 33.05 | 149.42 | 984.13 | | | 135.07 | 849.06 | 87 |
| J&K | 36.55 | 888.69 | 33.06 | 288.52 | 600.17 | | | 24.64 | 575.53 | 68 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 71.50 | 748.07 | 235.16 | 501.41 | 246.66 | | | 36.09 | 210.57 | 33 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 1096.61 | 8383.24 | 186.86 | 1344.2 | 7039.04 | | 4.40 | 136.93 | 6897.71 | 84 |
| Uttarakhand | 101.66 | 834.02 | | 684.82 | 149.20 | | | | 149.20 | 18 |
| Sub Total: | 2315.01 | 19429.29 | 1393.26 | 6952.83 | 12476.46 | 0.00 | 12.71 | 1572.81 | 10890.94 | 64 |
| WEST ZONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maharashtra | 1536.54 | 12361.72 | 1361.90 | 2944.92 | 9416.80 | | | 3210.72 | 6206.08 | 76 |
| Gujarat | 573.79 | 4574.50 | 807.41 | 2107.94 | 2466.56 | | | 1268.36 | 1198.20 | 54 |
| D&D | 8.75 | 61.26 | | 4.34 | 56.92 | | | 26.61 | 30.31 | 93 |
| Madhya Pradesh | | 301.51 | 25.28 | 259.07 | 42.44 | | | | 42.44 | 14 |
| Chhattisgarh | | | | | 0.00 | | | | | |
| Sub Total: | 2119.08 | 17298.99 | 2194.59 | 5316.27 | 11982.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4505.69 | 7477.03 | 69 |
| EAST ZONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| West Bengal | 555.48 | 7066.28 | 834.56 | 5588.76 | 1477.52 | | | | 1477.52 | 21 |
| Sikkim | 7.83 | 108.87 | | | 108.87 | | | | 108.87 | 100 |
| Bihar | 1318.24 | 12867.16 | 530.7 | 4103.62 | 8763.54 | 26.47 | 115.80 | 504.06 | 8117.21 | 68 |
| Orissa | 944.07 | 4545.27 | 681.63 | 3159.35 | 1385.92 | | | | 1385.92 | 30 |

Outstanding position against issue of foodgrains under MDM Scheme upto 30.11.2013

| | | | | | | | | | <u>(Rs. in l</u> | Lacs) |
|--------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | | Payment | | | | | | | % of O/S |
| Region | Amo | unt due | received | | Total | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | Outstanding | to |
| | For the | | during the | | | | | | | total |
| | month | Cumulative | month | Cumulative | Outstanding | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | billing |
| Jharkhand | | 3459.64 | 667.72 | 667.72 | 2791.92 | 64.59 | 31.90 | 574.59 | 2120.84 | 81 |
| Sub Total: | 2825.62 | 28047.22 | 2714.61 | 13519.45 | 14527.77 | 91.06 | 147.70 | 1078.65 | 13210.36 | 52 |
| NE ZONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Assam | 776.04 | 14256.05 | 66.00 | 12013.31 | 2242.74 | | | | 2242.74 | 16 |
| NE | | 3717.97 | 220.57 | 3468.80 | 249.17 | | | | 249.17 | 7 |
| N&M | 81.30 | 1650.94 | 193.22 | 1419.12 | 231.82 | | | | 231.82 | 14 |
| Arunachal | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pradesh | 8.88 | 870.94 | | 728.69 | 142.25 | | | | 142.25 | 16 |
| Sub Total: | 866.22 | 20495.90 | 479.79 | 17629.92 | 2865.98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2865.98 | 14 |
| SOUTH ZONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| A.P. | 100.39 | 3452.44 | 1142.14 | 2119.48 | 1332.96 | | | 60.57 | 1272.39 | 39 |
| Karnataka | 583.71 | 4227.45 | 1210.54 | 3563.11 | 664.34 | | | | 664.34 | 16 |
| Kerala | 340.24 | 2239.38 | 413.04 | 1926.79 | 312.59 | | | | 312.59 | 14 |
| T.N. | 12.72 | 2095.5 | 610.34 | 1730.79 | 364.71 | | | | 364.71 | 17 |
| Sub Total: | 1037.06 | 12014.77 | 3376.06 | 9340.17 | 2674.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.57 | 2614.03 | 22 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Total: | 9162.99 | 97286.17 | 10158.31 | 52758.64 | 44527.53 | 91.06 | 160.41 | 7217.72 | 37058.34 | 46 |

* This includes figures for the year 2011-12 and subsequent years

Source: FCI

Annexure-III

PROGRESS ON CONSTRUCTION OF KITCHEN-CUM-STORES

| SI. No. | 5tate/UT | No. of Kitchen - cum-stores sanctioned during 2006-07 | Physical Pro Constr | - | itchen cum In Prog | | on 30-09-20 Not yet st | |
|------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----|---------------------------|------|
| | | to 2013-14 | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 75283 | 6578 | 98 98 | 0 | 08 | 68705 | 91% |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 4131 | 4085 | 99% | 0 | 0% | 46 | 1% |
| 3 | Assam | 56795 | 40593 | 71% | 5460 | 10% | 10742 | 19% |
| 4 | Bihar | 65977 | 45142 | 68% | 6763 | 10% | 14072 | 21% |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 47266 | 37107 | 79% | 7360 | 16% | 2799 | 6% |
| 6 | Goa | 0 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | Gujarat | 19868 | 18155 | 91% | 374 | 2% | 1339 | 7% |
| 8 | Haryana | 11483 | 6434 | 56% | 1505 | 13% | 3544 | 31% |
| 9 | Himachal pradesh | 14959 | 12954 | 87% | 1701 | 11% | 304 | 2% |
| 10 | Jammu & Kashmir | 11815 | 11442 | 97% | 107 | 1% | 266 | 2% |
| 11 | Jharkhand | 39001 | 17430 | 45% | 7514 | 19% | 14057 | 36% |
| 12 | Karnataka | 36571 | 25958 | 71% | 5565 | 15% | 5048 | 148 |
| 13 | Kerala | 2450 | 318 | 13% | 484 | 20% | 1648 | 678 |
| 14 | Madhya Pradesh | 100751 | 82743 | 82% | 23789 | 24% | -5781 | -68 |
| 15 | Maharashtra | 71783 | 31866 | 44% | 4779 | 7% | 35138 | 498 |
| 16 | Manipur | 3053 | 1174 | 38% | 0 | 0 % | 1879 | 628 |
| 17 | Meghalaya | 9491 | 6985 | 74% | 2391 | 25% | 115 | 1% |
| 18 | Mizoram | 2396 | 2396 | 100% | 0 | 0 % | 0 | 0 % |
| 19 | Nagaland | 2223 | 1777 | 80% | 446 | 20% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | Orissa | 69152 | 36049 | 52% | 22173 | 32% | 10930 | 168 |
| 21 | Punjab | 18969 | 16248 | 86% | 2197 | 12% | 524 | 3% |
| 22 | Rajasthan | 81436 | 60795 | 75% | 8597 | 11% | 12044 | 159 |
| 23 | Sikkim | 936 | 800 | 85% | 59 | 6% | 77 | 88 |
| 24 | Tamil Nadu | 28470 | 6415 | 23% | 8062 | 28% | 13993 | 498 |
| 25 | Tripura | 5144 | 4260 | 83% | 615 | 12% | 0 | 0% |
| 26 | Uttar Pradesh | 122572 | 110177 | 90% | 167 | 0% | 12228 | 109 |
| 27 | Uttarakhand | 16989 | 8904 | 52% | 3477 | 20% | 4608 | 278 |
| 28 | West Bengal | 81314 | 52696 | 65% | 13523 | 17% | 15095 | 199 |
| 29 | A&N Islands* | 251 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 251 | 1008 |
| 30 | Chandigarh | 10 | 0 | 0% | 7 | 70% | 3 | 308 |
| 31 | D&N Haveli | 50 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 50 | 1009 |
| 32 | Daman & Diu | 32 | 26 | 81% | 0 | 08 | 6 | 198 |
| 33 | Delhi | 0 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 34 | Lakshadweep | 0 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 35 | Puducherry | 92 | 92 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| | Total | 1000713 | 649599 | 65% | 127115 | 13% | 223730 | 228 |

Annexure-IV

PAYMENT OF HONORARIUM TO COOK-CUM-HELPERS

| S.No | State/UT | No. of Cooks- cum-Helpers - PAB Approval | No. of Cooks- cum-Helpers Engaged | % Engaged | Honorarium Allocation (Central Share) | Honorarium paid | % honorarium pai |
|------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | Sikkim | 1891 | 1888 | 100% | 170.19 | 102.60 | 60 |
| 2 | Karnataka | 114653 | 110699 | 97% | 8598.98 | 4854.31 | 56 |
| 3 | Tamil Nadu | 128130 | 108643 | 85% | 9609.75 | 4888.94 | 51 |
| 4 | Tripura | 11000 | 10815 | 98% | 990.00 | 486.16 | 49 |
| 5 | Uttarakhand | 32989 | 30470 | 92% | 2474.18 | 1177.55 | 48 |
| 6 | West Bengal | 248799 | 237299 | 103% | 18659.93 | 8832.87 | 47 |
| 7 | Himachal pradesh | 24738 | 23470 | 95% | 1855.35 | 868.06 | 47 |
| 8 | Chandigarh | 750 | 716 | 100% | 56.25 | 26.21 | 47 |
| 9 | Haryana | 35245 | 31596 | 90% | 2643.38 | 1184.86 | 45 |
| 10 | Jammu & Kashmir* | 34154 | 27687 | 81% | 2561.55 | 1129.26 | 44 |
| 11 | Andhra Pradesh | 165227 | 165227 | 100% | 12392.03 | 5436.21 | 44 |
| 12 | D&N Haveli | 861 | 861 | 100% | 64.58 | 27.18 | 42 |
| 13 | Bihar | 231811 | 168340 | 73% | 17385.83 | 7069.65 | 41 |
| 14 | Punjab | 49449 | 40243 | 100% | 3708.68 | 1505.57 | 41 |
| 15 | Rajasthan | 127183 | 127183 | 100% | 9538.73 | 3864.21 | 41 |
| 16 | A&N Islands | 721 | 721 | 100% | 54.08 | 21.63 | 40 |
| 17 | Daman & Diu | 308 | 308 | 100% | 23.10 | 9.24 | 40 |
| 18 | Arunachal Pradesh | 7842 | 7842 | 100% | 705.78 | 282.31 | 40 |
| 19 | Orissa | 145522 | 133349 | 92% | 10914.15 | 3999.37 | 37 |
| 20 | Madhya Pradesh | 297964 | 246077 | 83% | 22347.30 | 7358.76 | 33 |
| 21 | Maharashtra | 230184 | 167059 | 73% | 17263.80 | 5485.00 | 32 |
| 22 | Mizoram | 5044 | 5266 | 104% | 453.96 | 140.96 | 31 |
| 23 | Chhattisgarh | 137227 | 105700 | 77% | 10292.03 | 3171.02 | 31 |
| 24 | Gujarat | 91024 | 89660 | 99% | 6826.80 | 2099.11 | 31 |
| 25 | Jharkhand | 85066 | 81800 | 96% | 6379.95 | 1947.09 | 31 |
| 27 | Puducherry | 1031 | 1031 | 100% | 77.33 | 23.20 | 30 |
| 26 | Uttar Pradesh | 434032 | 396092 | 91% | 32552.40 | 9711.55 | 30 |
| 28 | Kerala | 18987 | 13737 | 72% | 1424.03 | 406.81 | 29 |
| 29 | Meghalaya | 17776 | 16920 | 95% | 1599.84 | 401.50 | 25 |
| 30 | Manipur | 6544 | 6380 | 97% | 588.96 | 114.03 | 19 |
| 31 | Goa | 3252 | 2173 | 67% | 243.90 | 8.88 | 4 |
| 32 | Assam | 115529 | 115529 | 100% | 10397.61 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 33 | Nagaland | 5531 | 0 | 0% | 497.79 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 34 | Delhi | 18740 | 0 | 91% | 1405.50 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 35 | Lakshadweep | 113 | 113 | 100% | 8.48 | 0.00 | 0 |
| | Total | 2829317 | 2474894 | 87% | 214766.13 | 76634.10 | 36 |

Annexure-V

RELEASE OF FUNDS

| | Central Assis | tance Release | ed to States/U | Ts under Mie | d-Day-Meal | Scheme dur | ing 2013-14 a | s on 22.01.20 | 014 | | | | | | Rs. in Lakh | |
|---------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | Fi | unds Releas | ed (Recurring |) | | | | Funds | Released (| Non-Re | curring) | |
| Sl. No. | States/UTs | | Adhoc | | Balance | e of First in | stalment | Sec | ond instalm | ent | Total Recurring Central | | curring Cer e(K.Shed/K e) | | Total Non- Recurring | GRAND TOTAL |
| | | Gen | SC | ST | Gen | sc | ST | Gen | SC | ST | Assistanc | Gen | SC | ST | Central Assistanc e | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Non-N | ER States | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 8200.63 | 3635.22 | 2400.9 | 5791.88 | 1496.27 | 795.42 | 15726.59 | 4137.3 | 2189.94 | 44374.15 | | | | 0.00 | 44374.15 |
| 2 | Bihar | 19404.5 | 4446.72 | 474.35 | 33054.25 | 7835.45 | 877.1 | 38875.0 | 9215.25 | 1031.56 | 115214.2 | 1352.40 | 312.30 | 0.00 | 1664.70 | 116878.90 |
| 3 | Chhattisgarh | 4365.76 | 2506.2 | 3298.17 | 4212.08 | 1182.35 | 2589.04 | 9220.04 | 1667.44 | 5223.03 | 34264.11 | | | | 0.00 | 34264.11 |
| 4 | Goa | 342.26 | 7.58 | 35.11 | 355.44 | 6.93 | 36.1 | 608.42 | 12.23 | 0.00 | 1404.07 | | | | 0.00 | 1404.07 |
| 5 | Gujarat | 8043.02 | 834.88 | 1964.68 | 8932.77 | 916.68 | 2212.09 | 16247.08 | 1336.34 | 0.00 | 40487.54 | | | | 0.00 | 40487.54 |
| 6 | Haryana | 3935.48 | 1527.61 | 4.38 | 3903.57 | 1429.18 | 0.00 | 6238.00 | 2283.85 | 0.00 | 19322.07 | 291.23 | 106.62 | 0 | 397.85 | 19719.92 |
| 7 | Himachal Pradesh | 1276.54 | 541.91 | 110.74 | 1685.42 | 715.04 | 147.8 | 2215.43 | 931.07 | 171.68 | 7795.63 | 7.27 | 3.09 | 0.64 | 11.00 | 7806.63 |
| 8 | J&K | 2102.34 | 230.07 | 400.03 | 922.38 | 96.36 | 181.33 | | | | 3932.51 | | | | 0.00 | 3932.51 |
| 9 | Jharkhand | 5250.95 | 1345.35 | 2720.5 | 6323.08 | 1656.39 | 3265.55 | 12226.09 | 2129.28 | 100.01 | 35017.20 | | | | 0.00 | 35017.20 |
| 10 | Karnataka | 12026.3 | 1046.53 | 1028.2 | 19830.4 | 65.79 | 39.87 | 16293.14 | 7243.82 | 3703.64 | 61277.7 | 9690.51 | 2315.6 | 39.4 3 | 12045.54 | 73323.24 |

Central Assistance Released to States/UTs under Mid-Day-Meal Scheme during 2013-14 as on 22.01.2014

Rs. in Lakh

| | | | | | Fu | unds Releas | ed (Recurring |) | | | | Funds | Released (| Non-Re | curring) | _ |
|---------------|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------------|---------------|----------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| SI. No. | States/UTs | | Adhoc | | Balance | e of First in | stalment | Sec | ond instalme | ent | Total Recurring Central | | curring Cen e(K.Shed/K. e) | | Total Non- Recurring | GRAND TOTAL |
| | | Gen | SC | ST | Gen | SC | ST | Gen | sc | ST | Assistanc | Gen | SC | ST | Central Assistanc e | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 11 | Kerala | 2914.09 | 1603.58 | 1144.38 | 4058.95 | 498.54 | 119.26 | 7998.48 | 864.1 | 0 | 19201.38 | | | | 0.00 | 19201.38 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | 11565.3 | 4992.11 | 6639.06 | 11438.11 | 3380.92 | 4837.46 | 15876.13 | 8170.64 | 11829 | 78728.77 | 2643.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2643.80 | 81372.57 |
| 13 | Maharashtra | 18052.1 | 4886.66 | 4202.69 | 10635.67 | 3449.15 | 3018.15 | 32056.02 | 9094.44 | 1919.78 | 87314.66 | 8856.11 | 1689.5 | 516. 32 | 11061.93 | 98376.59 |
| 14 | Orissa | 5389.42 | 3683.42 | 4723.68 | 9831.58 | 3570.28 | 4954.34 | 11005.92 | 7060.66 | 8498.59 | 58717.89 | 1430.53 | 516.52 | 764. 35 | 2711.40 | 61429.29 |
| 15 | Punjab | 2191.19 | 1944.1 | 1001.03 | 2913.01 | 1774.63 | 0.94 | 6847.15 | 4214.13 | 0.8 | 20886.98 | 320.5 | 195.25 | 0.1 | 515.85 | 21402.83 |
| 16 | Rajasthan | 7090.96 | 3862.41 | 3195.98 | 4902.02 | 1574.08 | 1206.11 | 10699.43 | 6608.84 | 5184.84 | 44324.67 | 329.49 | 251.52 | 0 | 581.00 | 44905.67 |
| 17 | Tamilnadu | 6649.42 | 3857.77 | 1200.2 | 8801.98 | 6192.98 | 2295.66 | 10602.27 | 6781.21 | 2332.84 | 48714.33 | 274.23 | 255.32 | 110. 95 | 640.50 | 49354.83 |
| 18 | Uttarakhand | 1883.77 | 686.72 | 90.2 | 1569.52 | 566.53 | 68.34 | 3331.67 | 1197.72 | 131.00 | 9525.47 | | | | 0.00 | 9525.47 |
| 19 | Uttar Pradesh | 20522.7 | 11600.2 | 2237.08 | 10074.63 | 5873.14 | 2141.09 | 37808.76 | 19787.1 | 8428.92 | 118473.62 | 1645.78 | 613.41 | 17.7 6 | 2276.95 | 120750.57 |
| 20 | West Bengal | 20162.4 | 8040.11 | 2080.43 | 7570.15 | 2836.85 | 7.29 | 37023.57 | 13873.5 | 33.6 | 91627.87 | 14323.8 1 | 5367.74 | 13.8 | 19705.35 | 111333.22 |
| | Total | 161369.2 | 61279.1 | 38951.8 | 156806.9 | 45117.5 | 28792.9 | 290899.2 | 106608.9 | 50779.3 | 940604.8 2 | 41165.6 5 | 11626.9 | 146 3.4 | 54255.87 | 994860.685 |
| <u>UTs wi</u> | th legislature | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Delhi | 2251.93 | 298.77 | 9.9 | 1819.41 | 208.8 | 6.92 | 934.67 | 107.26 | 3.56 | 5641.22 | | | | 0 | 5641.22 |

Central Assistance Released to States/UTs under Mid-Day-Meal Scheme during 2013-14 as on 22.01.2014

Rs. in Lakh

| | | | | | E. | unde Poloae | ed (Recurring | , , | | | | Funda | Released (| Non Po | ourring) | |
|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------------|---------------|----------|-------------|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|
| SI. No. | States/UTs | | Adhoc | | | e of First in | | | ond instalm | ent | Total Recurring Central | Non-Recurring Central | | | Total Non- Recurring | GRAND TOTAL |
| | | Gen | sc | ST | Gen | SC | ST | Gen | SC | ST | Assistanc | Gen | SC | ST | Central Assistanc e | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 22 | Puducherry | 159.33 | 38.11 | 0.44 | 70.56 | 16.65 | 0.24 | | | | 285.328 | | | | 0 | 285.33 |
| | Total | 2411.26 | 336.88 | 10.34 | 1889.972 | 225.45 | 7.156 | 934.67 | 107.26 | 3.56 | 5926.548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5926.548 |
| <u>UTs w</u> | ithout legislature | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | A&N islands | 75.09 | 0.32 | 6.15 | 100.04 | 0.46 | 8.05 | 126.21 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 316.85 | 700.00 | 5.03 | 97.2 7 | 802.30 | 1119.15 |
| 24 | Chandigarh | 473.75 | 14.11 | 0.09 | 173.38 | 20.04 | 0.25 | 197.46 | 22.80 | 0.00 | 901.88 | | | | 0 | 901.88 |
| 25 | D&N Haveli | 33.07 | 2.65 | 75.16 | 45.00 | 3.61 | 102.25 | 191.66 | 4.45 | 0.00 | 457.85 | | | | 0 | 457.85 |
| 26 | Daman & Diu | 86.81 | 4.42 | 11.15 | 41.24 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 59.17 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 212.86 | | | | 0 | 212.86 |
| 27 | Lakshadweep | 0.49 | 0.02 | 21.51 | 27.76 | 0.17 | 19.09 | | | | 69.04 | | | | 0 | 69.04 |
| | Total | 669.21 | 21.52 | 114.06 | 387.42 | 26.38 | 134.94 | 574.50 | 30.45 | 0.00 | 1958.48 | 700 | 5.03 | 97.2 7 | 802.30 | 2760.78 |
| NER S | tates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Arunachal Pradesh | 187.92 | 2.21 | 629.04 | 434.15 | 10.28 | 1392.82 | 1304.73 | 7.35 | 0.00 | 3968.50 | 14.2 | 0.34 | 45.5 6 | 60.10 | 4028.60 |
| 29 | Assam | 10648.1 | 1331.36 | 2168.95 | 7390.03 | 905.8 | 1475.46 | 19483.83 | 1990.69 | 0.00 | 45394.20 | | | | 0 | 45394.20 |
| 30 | Manipur | 339.65 | 28.4 | 300.28 | 389.87 | 34.08 | 352.36 | | | | 1444.64 | | | | 0 | 1444.64 |

| Central Assistance Released to States/UTs under Mid-Day-Meal Scheme during 2013-14 as on 22.01.2014 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Rs. in Lakh

| | | | | | Fu | unds Releas | ed (Recurring |) | | | | Funds | Released (| Non-Re | curring) | |
|---------|------------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------------|---------------|----------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| SI. No. | States/UTs | | Adhoc | | | e of First in | | | cond instalm | ent | Total Recurring Central | | curring Cen e(K.Shed/K. e) | | Total Non- Recurring | GRAND TOTAL |
| | | Gen | SC | ST | Gen | SC | ST | Gen | SC | ST | Assistanc e | Gen | SC | ST | Central Assistanc e | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 31 | Meghalaya | 114.4 | 17.21 | 1433.31 | 158.18 | 23.81 | 1944.07 | | | | 3690.98 | 13.06 | 1.96 | 162. 23 | 177.3 | 3868.2 |
| 32 | Mizoram | 6.63 | 1.25 | 513.91 | 6.43 | 1.37 | 587.72 | 441.79 | 1.96 | 410.15 | 1971.21 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 27.9 8 | 28.35 | 1999.56 |
| 33 | Nagaland | 61.67 | 0.15 | 672.34 | | | | | | | 734.16 | | | | 0 | 734.16 |
| 34 | Sikkim | 150.09 | 19.6 | 100.3 | 186.84 | 25.29 | 126.47 | 399.71 | 32.27 | 0.00 | 1040.57 | 93.22 | 12.62 | 63.1 | 168.94 | 1209.51 |
| 35 | Tripura | 597.14 | 288.8 | 583.76 | 315.75 | 146.31 | 289.02 | 1589.98 | 384.65 | 0.00 | 4195.41 | 369.06 | 171.01 | 337. 81 | 877.88 | 5073.29 |
| | Total | 12105.6 | 1688.98 | 6401.89 | 8881.25 | 1146.94 | 6167.92 | 23220.04 | 2416.92 | 410.15 | 62439.67 | 489.85 | 185.99 | 636. 68 | 1312.52 | 63752.19 |
| GF | RAND TOTAL | 176555.2 | 63326.5 | 45478.1 | 167965.5 | 46516.3 | 35103.0 | 315628.4 | 109163.5 | 51193.0 | 1010929. 52 | 42355.5 | 11817.9 | 219 7.30 | 56370.69 | 1067300.20 |
| Or S | ay, Rs. in Crore | 1765.55 | 633.27 | 454.78 | 1679.66 | 465.16 | 351.03 | 3156.28 | 1091.64 | 511.93 | 10109.30 | 423.56 | 118.18 | 21.9 7 | 563.71 | 10673.00 |

Annexure-VI

POOR PERFORMING DISTRICTS

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh (Primary) | East Godavari | EAST GODAVARI | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | (| Guntur | GUNTUR | | | | | |
| | | Hyderabad | HYDERABAD | | | | | |
| | | Nizamabad | | | | | | |
| | | | WARANGAL | | | | | |
| | | | RANGAREDDY | | | | | |
| | | | NELLORE | | | | | |
| 2 | Assam | Cachar | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | Goalpara | | | | | | |
| | | Morigaon | | | | | | |
| | | Nagaon | Nagaon | | | | | |
| | | | Tinsukia | | | | | |
| | | | Dima Hasao | | | | | |
| 3 | Bihar | Saran | | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Gaya | | | | | | |
| | | Patna | | | | | | |
| | | Bhojpur | | | | | | |
| | | Buxar | | | | | | |
| | | Buxar | | | | | | |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| | | Kaimoor | | | | | | |
| | | Muzaffarpur | | | | | | |
| | | Nalanda | | | | | | |
| | | Nawada | | | | | | |
| | | Rohtas | | | | | | |
| | | Siwan | | | | | | |
| 4 | Chattisgarh (Primary) | Bilaspur | BILASPUR | 7 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| | | Dhamtari | | | | | | |
| | | Durg | DURG | | | | | |
| | | Janjgir-Champa | | | | | | |
| | | Kabirdham | | | | | | |
| | | Koriya | | | | | | |
| | | Raipur | | | | | | |
| | | | SARGUJA | | | | | |
| 5 | Gujarat | Ahmedabad | Ahmedabad | 16 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 9 |
| | | Amreli | Amreli | | | | | |
| | | Banaskantha | Banaskantha | | | | | |
| | | Bhavnagar | Bhavnagar | | | | | |
| | | Dangs | Dangs | | | | | |
| | | Jamnagar | Jamnagar | | | | | |
| | | Junagadh | | | | | | |
| | | KachchhBhuj | Kachchh Bhuj | | | | | |
| | | Kheda-Nadiad | Kheda-Nadiad | | | | | |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| | | Mehsana | | | | | | |
| | | Narmda | Narmda | | | | | |
| | | Navsari | | | | | | |
| | | Patan | | | | | | |
| | | Porbandar | | | | | | |
| | | Rajkot | | | | | | |
| | | Surendranagar | | | | | | |
| | | | Anand | | | | | |
| | | | Bharuch | | | | | |
| | | | Gandhinagar | | | | | |
| | | | Panchmahals | | | | | |
| | | | Dahod | | | | | |
| 6 | J&K | Doda | | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Kathua | Kathua | | | | | |
| | | Kishtwar | Kishtwar | | | | | |
| | | Kulgam | | | | | | |
| | | Poonch | | | | | | |
| | | Rajouri | | | | | | |
| | | Ramban | Ramban | | | | | |
| | | Reasi | Reasi | | | | | |
| | | Udhampur | Udhampur | | | | | |
| 7 | Jharkhand | | Sahebganj | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| | | | Palamu | | | | | |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| | | | Pakur | | | | | |
| | | | Giridih | | | | | |
| | | | Deoghar | | | | | |
| | | | Godda | | | | | |
| | | | Dumka | | | | | |
| | | | Garhwa | | | | | |
| | | | Chartra | | | | | |
| | | | Jamtara | | | | | |
| | | | Dhanbad | | | | | |
| | | | Latehar | | | | | |
| | | | W. Singhbhum | | | | | |
| | | | S -Kharsawan | | | | | |
| | | | Gumla | | | | | |
| | | | Lohardaga | | | | | |
| | | | Simdega | | | | | |
| 8 | Karnataka | Bangalore(U) | | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Dharwad | | | | | | |
| 9 | Kerala | Alappuzha | Alappuzha | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| | | Ernakulam | | | | | | |
| | | Kollam | | | | | | |
| | | Kottayam | | | | | | |
| | | Pathanamthitta | | | | | | |
| | | Thrissur | Thrissur | | | | | |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|----------------|---------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| | | Trivandrum | | | | | | |
| 10 | Madhya Pradesh | Ashoknagar | | 17 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 8 |
| | | Badwani | | | | | | |
| | | Bhind | Bhind | | | | | |
| | | Bhopal | | | | | | |
| | | Chattarpur | Chhatarpur | | | | | |
| | | Guna | Guna | | | | | |
| | | Harda | Harda | | | | | |
| | | Indore | | | | | | |
| | | Jhabua | | | | | | |
| | | Morena | Morena | | | | | |
| | | Panna | Panna | | | | | |
| | | Rajgarh | | | | | | |
| | | Satna | | | | | | |
| | | Sheopur | Sheopur | | | | | |
| | | Shivpuri | | | | | | |
| | | Sidhi | Sidhi | | | | | |
| | | Ujjain | | | | | | |
| | | | Anooppur | | | | | |
| | | | Rewa | | | | | |
| | | | Vidisha | | | | | |
| | | | Alirajpur | | | | | |
| | | | Umaria | | | | | |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|-------------|------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| 11 | Maharashtra | Hingoli | | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| | | Nanded | Nanded | | | | | |
| | | Nandurbar | | | | | | |
| | | Pune | | | | | | |
| | | Solapur | | | | | | |
| | | | Jalana | | | | | |
| | | | Mumbai | | | | | |
| | | | Dhule | | | | | |
| | | | Buldhana | | | | | |
| 12 | Meghalaya | East Garo Hills | | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | | East Khasi Hills | | | | | | |
| | | South Garo Hills | | | | | | |
| | | West Garo Hills | | | | | | |
| 13 | Odisha | BOLANGIR | | 9 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| | | DEOGARH | | | | | | |
| | | KALAHANDI | | | | | | |
| | | KANDHAMAL | | | | | | |
| | | KORAPUT | | | | | | |
| | | MALKANGIRI | MALKANGIRI | | | | | |
| | | NAYAGARH | | | | | | |
| | | NUAPADA | | | | | | |
| | | RAYAGADA | RAYAGADA | | | | | |
| | | | GANJAM | | | | | |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|------------|---------------|---------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| 14 | Punjab | AMRITSAR | | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| | | GURDASPUR | | | | | | |
| | | KAPURTHALA | | | | | | |
| | | LUDHIANA | | | | | | |
| | | MUKTSAR | | | | | | |
| | | NAWANSHEHR | | | | | | |
| | | SAS NAGAR | | | | | | |
| | | TARN TARAN | | | | | | |
| 15 | Rajasthan | Barmer | | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Bhilwara | | | | | | |
| | | Bikaner | | | | | | |
| | | Bundi | | | | | | |
| | | Dungarpur | | | | | | |
| | | Hanumangarh | | | | | | |
| | | Jaiselmer | | | | | | |
| | | Jalore | | | | | | |
| | | Jhalawar | | | | | | |
| | | Karauli | | | | | | |
| | | Kota | | | | | | |
| | | Sirohi | | | | | | |
| | | Tonk | | | | | | |
| | | Udaipur | | | | | | |
| 16 | Tamil Nadu | Coimbatore | | 15 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 1 |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|---------------|----------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| | | Dindigul | | | | | | |
| | | Kanniyakumari | | | | | | |
| | | Karur | | | | | | |
| | | Madurai | Madurai | | | | | |
| | | Namakkal | | | | | | |
| | | Ramnad | | | | | | |
| | | Salem | | | | | | |
| | | Sivagangai | | | | | | |
| | | Theni | | | | | | |
| | | Tirunelveli | | | | | | |
| | | Tirupur | | | | | | |
| | | Trichy | | | | | | |
| | | Tuticorin | | | | | | |
| | | Virudhunagar | | | | | | |
| | | | Ariyalur | | | | | |
| 17 | Tripura | North District | North | 3 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| | | South District | South | | | | | |
| | | West District | West | | | | | |
| | | | Sepahijala | | | | | |
| | | | Gomati | | | | | |
| | | | Unakuti | | | | | |
| 18 | Uttar Pradesh | AGRA | | 32 | 29 | 8 | 3 | 25 |
| | | ALIGARH | ALIGARH | | | | | |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|----------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| | | ALLAHABAD | ALLAHABAD | | | | | |
| | | AMBEDKAR NAGAR | AMBEDKAR NAGAR | | | | | |
| | | AMETHI | AMETHI | | | | | |
| | | BADAUN | BADAUN | | | | | |
| | | BAHRAICH | BAHRAICH | | | | | |
| | | BALRAMPUR | BALRAMPUR | | | | | |
| | | BANDA | BANDA | | | | | |
| | | BARABANKI | BARABANKI | | | | | |
| | | BAREILLY | BAREILLY | | | | | |
| | | BHADOHI | BHADOHI | | | | | |
| | | BULANDSHAHR | | | | | | |
| | | ETAH | | | | | | |
| | | FIROZABAD | FIROZABAD | | | | | |
| | | GORAKHPUR | | | | | | |
| | | HAPUR | HAPUR | | | | | |
| | | JAUNPUR | JAUNPUR | | | | | |
| | | KAUSHAMBI | KAUSHAMBI | | | | | |
| | | LUCKNOW | LUCKNOW | | | | | |
| | | MAINPURI | MAINPURI | | | | | |
| | | MAU | MAU | | | | | |
| | | MUZAFFARNAGAR | | | | | | |
| | | PILIBHIT | PILIBHIT | | | | | |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | | Analysis | |
|------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |
| | | PRATAPGARH | | | | | | |
| | | RAE BARELI | RAE BARELI | | | | | |
| | | SAMBHAL | SAMBHAL | | | | | |
| | | SANT KABIR NAGAR | | | | | | |
| | | SHAHJAHANPUR | SHAHJAHANPUR | | | | | |
| | | Shamli | | | | | | |
| | | SHRAWASTI | SHRAWASTI | | | | | |
| | | SULTANPUR | SULTANPUR | | | | | |
| | | | VARANASI | | | | | |
| | | | SONBHADRA | | | | | |
| | | | AZAMGARH | | | | | |
| | | | JHANSI | | | | | |
| 19 | Uttarakhand | Dehradun | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Haridwar | | | | | | |
| | | Nainital | | | | | | |
| | | USNagar | USNagar | | | | | |
| 20 | W. Bengal | Kolkata | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | Murshidabad | Murshidabad | | | | | |
| | | S/24 Pgs. | | | | | | |
| | | Siliguri | | | | | | |
| | | | Cooch Behar | | | | | |
| | | | Jalpaiguri | | | | | |
| | Total | 177 | 127 | | | 113 | 47 | 64 |

| | | Poor Performi | | | | Analysis | | |
|------------|----------|---------------|---------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| SI. No. | State/UT | 2011-12 | 2102-13 | No of Districts -2011- 12 | No of Districts -2012- 13 | Removed | Newly added | Repeated |

Districts highlighted in yellow are poor performing districts last year also. Districts highlighted in red are poor performing districts for this year

Districts highlighted in green are poor performing districts which have improved in the year 2012-13 as compared to 2011-12

