



National Workshop Mid Day Meal Scheme

2017-18

Goa, 6-7, November 2017

Review of the Performance during April – June, 2017

Main Issues

- Decline in number of children covered.
- Delay in submission of information (UC, QPR).
- Delay in release of funds.
- Slow pace of construction of kitchen-cum-stores.
- Inadequate monitoring.
- Less utilisation of MME.

Submission of QPRs - Delay in number of days


States/UTs	2016-17		2017-18	
	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 1	QTR 2
Bihar	3	9	16	In time
Chhattisgarh	1	3	2	2
Goa	1	In time	11	2
Gujarat	38	39	60	Not submitted
Haryana	26	32	78	Not submitted
Himachal Pr	In time	1	2	2
J & K	116	38	52	Not submitted
Kerala	In time	In time	92	Not submitted
Madhya Pr	9	In time	71	Not submitted

Submission of QPRs - Delay in number of days


States/UTs	2016-17		2017-18	
	QTR 1	QTR 2	QTR 1	QTR 2
Maharashtra	59	39	43	Not submitted
Punjab	In time	In time	In time	In time
Rajasthan	30	17	9	Not submitted
Uttar Pr	22	58	30	Not submitted
Uttarakhand	2	In time	5	In time
Chandigarh	In time	In time	In time	In time
D&N Haveli	58	In time	77	In time
Daman & Diu	In time	In time	17	In time
Delhi	68	45	14	Not submitted

Coverage of Children against Enrolment : 2016-17 & 2017-18


2016-17




2017-18




Trends of Coverage of children (in crore)




Quarter wise Coverage of children (in crore)




Quarter wise % Coverage of children vis-à-vis Enrolment



Enrol. vs. Beneficiary (Avg. children availed MDM)




Progress on construction of Kitchen-cum-store (Year Wise)



Kitchen-cum-store yet to be constructed

S. No.	State	Sanctioned	Not yet started	% Not started
1	Jammu & Kashmir	11815	4677	40%
2	Rajasthan	77298	14639	19%
3	Maharashtra	71783	11062	18%
4	Haryana	11483	1619	14%
5	Bihar	66550	7703	12%
6	Uttar Pradesh	122572	9770	8%


Food Grains - Utilisation



Foodgrain Utilisation vis-à-vis meals served

S. No.	State / UT	% meals served against PAB Approval	% foodgrain Util	Difference
1	Chandigarh	20%	11%	9%
2	Haryana	18%	13%	5%
3	Gujarat	18%	16%	2%


Cooking Cost - Utilisation




Cooking cost Utilisation vis-à-vis meals served

S. No.	State / UT	% meals served against PAB Approval	% Cooking cost Util.	Difference
1	Delhi	10%	27%	17%
2	Daman & Diu	14%	20%	6%
3	Dadra & N Haveli	15%	0	- 15%
4	Gujarat	18%	6%	- 12%
5	Haryana	18%	10%	- 8%

Payment of Hon. to Cook-cum-helpers




Transportation Assistance - Utilisation



MME Utilisation



Availability of LPG in schools



Steering cum Monitoring Committee Meeting

No. of Meetings	States/UTs
1	Kerala, Rajasthan and Dadra & Nagar Haveli Puducherry
0	All other 15 States / UTs



A meal to a Child is an offering to

Divinity.

